

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/704,467	1,467 10/31/2000		Charles P. Roth	10559-286001 5582		
20985	7590	07/19/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI 12390 EL C			LI, AIMEE J			
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2183		

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	•
Before the Filing of an Appea	al Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/704,467	ROTH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Aimee J. Li	2183	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Aimee J. Li	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(*
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,		-
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All F II I CON A		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	iotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> it or other evidence i	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 1 has added the limitations "the width bits which are read defining the validity and size of the first emulation instruction" and "the width bits which are read defining the validity and size of the second emulation instruction" which have not been previously considered in combination with the other limitations of claim 1 and its dependent claims. Independent claim 9 has added the limitation "the run-test idle state signal indicating entry of the test interface into a run-test idel state" which has not been previously considered in combination with other limitations of claim 9 and its dependent claims. Independent claim 16 has been amended to recite "emulation control logic adapted to supply the plurality of emulation instructions to a processor pipeline in response to detenction of a single entry of the test interface into a run-test idle state" which is narrower in scope than previously presented and has not been considered in combination with the other limitations of claim 16 and its dependent claims. Independent claim 21 has similar amendments as claims 9 and 16. All of these amendments and changes in scope to the claims require further search and consideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100